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Synopsis.
An analysis is made of tetrad segregation in the two species on the bases of three hypotheses-independence, the operation of cytoplasmic competition, and a relationship to the two divisions of meiosis. It is concluded that both cytoplasmic and meiotic conditions may contribute to the behaviour. There is also a significant contribution by environmental factors, and a precise partition of the effects of the environment, the cytoplasm, and the nucleus, is not yet possible.

## Introduction.

Pollen tetrad segregation, in which some or all of the pollen grains in a tetrad are aborted, has been recorded in twelve species of the Styphelieae (Smith-White, 1959). From a consideration of the patterns of pollen development found in other species of the tribe, it has been inferred that this tetrad segregation must have originated on several occasions and that these origins have been dependent upon a prior evolutionary history of monad-type pollen development and cytoplasmic polarity in pollen mother cells. In fact, it is inferred that within the Styphelieae there has been preadaptation tc the establishment of pollen tetrad segregation.

It is reasonable to expect that the characteristics of such behaviour might reflect its causation. An analysis of the frequencies of the five possible kinds of pollen tetrad-nullads, monads, dyads, triads and full tetrads-may contribute to an understanding of the mechanisms involved. Unfortunately, the tetrahedral arrangement of the pollen grains in the tetrads, and the distortion due to the differential growth of good and aborting grains, prevent the recognition of the planes of the first and second meiotic divisions. Analysis must be made on the basis of unordered tetrads.

In this paper an analysis has been attempted of data for two species, Astroloma pinifolium Benth. and Acrotriche fasciculiflora Benth.

Ast. pinifolium occurs in coastal districts of eastern Australia, extending from southern Queensland to eastern Tasmania. In this distribution it shows several major disjunctions, and it usually occurs in small isolated local populations in each region. Still more isolated populations are found in the Warialda district of north-west New South Wales and in the Grampians of south-western Victoria. The pattern of distribution suggests that the species is old and relict. Material studied in this paper was obtained from the east coastal region, from Sydney to Evans Head. Data from Grampians plants are presented for comparison and contrast.

Acr. fasciculiflora is found only in south-eastern South Australia, its range extending from the Adelaide Hills and Mt. Lofty Ranges to Kangaroo Island. The material studied was collected in Long Gully, Belair National Park.

The Data.
Observed frequencies of tetrad types for a representative selection of plants of the two species are given in Tables 1 and 2. Table 3 summarizes the analysis according to two hypotheses for 57 plants of Ast. pinifolium. Tables 4 and 5 present data and analysis for within-plant variation for two selected plants of Ast. pinifolium.

The data given for each plant in Tables 1 and 2 are composite in that they were obtained from two or more flowers and 10 or more anthers. There is significant variation between flowers and between anthers within plants, which is illustrated by the data given in Tables 4 and 5 , and by the graph in Text-figure 3.
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Table 1.
Astroloma pinifolium.
Tetrad Segregation Data.

| Plant and Hypothesis. | Tetrad Type Proportions.$\% \times 100 .$ |  |  |  |  | N. | $\begin{aligned} & (p+q) \text { or } \\ & (x+y+z) . \end{aligned}$ | $\chi^{2}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{r}_{0}$. | $\mathrm{r}_{1}$. | $\mathrm{r}_{2}$. | $\mathrm{r}_{3}$. | $\mathrm{r}_{4}$. |  |  |  |
| R57/8 | 7673 | 1790 | 484 | 52 | 1 | 9595 |  |  |
| I | 7384 | 2326 | 273 | 15 | 0 |  | $0.927+0.073$ | $378 \cdot 2$ |
| III | 7658 | 1808 | 485 | 44 | 5 |  | $0 \cdot 8751+0.1033+0.0216$ | 0.49 |
| LP57/4 | 7183 | 2347 | 415 | 54 | 0 | 4651 |  |  |
| I | 7056 | 2571 | 351 | 21 | 0 |  | $0.9165+0.0835$ | $38 \cdot 1$ |
| III | 7174 | 2338 | 444 | 41 | 2 |  | $0 \cdot 847+0 \cdot 138+0 \cdot 015$ | $2 \cdot 2$ |
| W54/6 | 6011 | 3151 | 750 | 83 | 5 | 5878 |  |  |
| I | 5916 | 3319 | 698 | 65 | 2 |  | $0 \cdot 877+0 \cdot 123$ | $12 \cdot 3$ |
| III | 6006 | 3147 | 753 | 89 | 5 |  | $0 \cdot 775+0 \cdot 203+0 \cdot 022$ | $0 \cdot 17$ |
| R57/5 | 4982 | 3538 | 1262 | 208 | 9 | 4468 |  |  |
| I | 4746 | 3888 | 1195 | 163 | 8 |  | $0 \cdot 83+0 \cdot 17$ | $25 \cdot 4$ |
| III . | 4970 | 3596 | 1214 | 204 | 16 |  | $0 \cdot 705+0 \cdot 255+0 \cdot 040$ | $2 \cdot 7$ |
| TG57/4 | 4065 | 4182 | 1488 | 243 | 22 | 8785 |  |  |
| I . . | 4116 | 4091 | 1524 | 253 | 16 |  | $0 \cdot 801+0 \cdot 199$ | $5 \cdot 2$ |
| III. | 4116 | 4091 | 1524 | 253 | 16 |  | $0 \cdot 642+0 \cdot 318+0 \cdot 040$ | $5 \cdot 2$ |
| LP53/3 | 3998 | 3728 | 1866 | 374 | 34 | 8022 |  |  |
| I . | 3797 | 4160 | 1709 | 312 | 21 |  | $0 \cdot 785+0 \cdot 215$ | $63 \cdot 1$ |
| III . | 3969 | 3780 | 1782 | 420 | 49 |  | $0 \cdot 63+0 \cdot 30+0 \cdot 07$ | 11.5 |
| TG57/2 | 3157 | 4204 | 2116 | 482 | 41 | 9595 |  |  |
| I . | 3145 | 4219 | 2122 | 472 | 40 |  | $0 \cdot 7489+0 \cdot 2511$ | 0.34 |
| III | 3165 | 4204 | 2114 | 477 | 41 |  | $0 \cdot 5626+0 \cdot 3736+0 \cdot 0638$ | $0 \cdot 07$ |
| O55/2 | 2914 | 4313 | 2301 | 451 | 21 | 6088 |  |  |
| I | 3015 | 4215 | 2210 | 515 | 45 |  | $0 \cdot 741+0 \cdot 259$ | $18 \cdot 3$ |
| III | 2884 | 4435 | 2243 | 413 | 25 |  | $0 \cdot 537+0.413+0.050$ | $5 \cdot 5$ |
| R57/6 | 2874 | 4138 | 2321 | 603 | 63 | 15284 |  |  |
| I | 2820 | 4199 | 2345 | 582 | 54 |  | $0 \cdot 7287+0 \cdot 2713$ | $6 \cdot 8$ |
| III | 2862 | 4119 | 2338 | 616 | 64 |  | $0 \cdot 535+0.385+0.080$ | $0 \cdot 8$ |
| W54/5 | 2659 | 4332 | 2504 | 475 | 30 | 3603 |  |  |
| I . . | 2809 | 4198 | 2353 | 586 | 55 |  | $0 \cdot 728+0.272$ | $19 \cdot 5$ |
| III .. | 2601 | 4386 | 2461 | 516 | 36 |  | $0.51+0.43+0.06$ | $2 \cdot 5$ |
| TG57/1 | 2743 | 3983 | 2659 | 580 | 35 | 9254 |  |  |
| I . . | 2695 | 4182 | 2433 | 629 | 61 |  | $0 \cdot 7205+0 \cdot 2795$ | $42 \cdot 9$ |
| III . . | 2695 | 4182 | 2433 | 629 | 61 |  | $0.519+0.403+0.078$ | $42 \cdot 9$ |
| W54/2 | 2459 | 4354 | 2665 | 501 | 21 | 3753 |  |  |
| I . . | 2658 | 4175 | 2460 | 644 | 63 |  | $0.718+0.282$ | 37-2 |
| III | 2401 | 4410 | 2613 | 540 | 36 |  | $0.49+0.45+0.06$ | $3 \cdot 1$ |
| W54/1 | 2559 | 4276 | 2501 | 625 | 39 | 3314 |  |  |
| I | 2643 | 4173 | 2470 | 650 | 64 |  | $0 \cdot 717+0.283$ | $5 \cdot 4$ |
| III | 2500 | 4300 | 2549 | 602 | 49 |  | $0 \cdot 50+0.43+0.07$ | $1 \cdot 7$ |
| TG57/5 | 2970 | 3472 | 2635 | 833 | 90 | 8923 |  |  |
| I | 2541 | 4152 | 2544 | 693 | 71 |  | $0 \cdot 710+0 \cdot 290$ | $197 \cdot 0$ |
| III | 3025 | 3740 | 2366 | 748 | 121 |  | $0 \cdot 55+0 \cdot 34+0 \cdot 11$ | $61 \cdot 5$ |
| O55/1 | 2005 | 3980 | 3027 | 906 | 81 | 1721 |  |  |
| I | 2052 | 3987 | 2906 | 941 | 114 |  | $0 \cdot 673+0.327$ | $3 \cdot 1$ |
| III . . | 1980 | 4049 | 2960 | 910 | 100 |  | $0 \cdot 445+0 \cdot 455+0 \cdot 100$ | $1 \cdot 1$ |
| A53/1 | 2079 | 3513 | 3495 | 857 | 56 | 11322 |  |  |
| I . . | 1991 | 3959 | 2951 | 978 | 121 |  | $0 \cdot 668+0.332$ | $230 \cdot 0$ |
| III . . | 1998 | 3996 | 2946 | 948 | 112 |  | $0 \cdot 447+0 \cdot 447+0 \cdot 106$ | $226 \cdot 4$ |
| W54/9 | 1619 | 3972 | 3277 | 1054 | 78 | 3463 |  |  |
| I . . | 1785 | 3845 | 3105 | 1141 | 150 |  | $0 \cdot 650+0 \cdot 350$ | $23 \cdot 1$ |
| III . . | 1600 | 4016 | 3304 | 984 | 96 |  | $0 \cdot 400+0 \cdot 502+0 \cdot 098$ | $3 \cdot 1$ |
| 057/6 | 1078 | 4260 | 3988 | 635 | 39 | 9001 |  |  |
| I . . | 1709 | 3796 | 3160 | 1170 | 162 |  | $0 \cdot 643+0 \cdot 357$ | $716 \cdot 1$ |
| III . . | 1156 | 4114 | 4029 | 665 | 30 |  | $0 \cdot 304+0.605+0.055$ | $12 \cdot 6$ |
| O57/1. | 1199 | 3712 | 3649 | 1286 | 154 | 9424 |  |  |
| I . . | 1419 | 3572 | 3372 | 1414 | 223 |  | $0.614+0.386$ | $38 \cdot 2$ |
| III .. | 1225 | 3710 | 3649 | 1272 | 144 |  | $0 \cdot 35+0.53+0 \cdot 12$ | $1 \cdot 2$ |

Locallties : A, Audley. R, LP, La Perouse and Malabar. O, Oatley. E, Evans Head. TG, Tea Gardens. W, Warrah. Gr, Grampians.

Table 1.-Continued.
Astroloma pinifolium.-Continued.
Tetrad Segregation Data.-Continued.

| Plant and Hypothesis. | Tetrad Type Proportions.$\% \times 100 .$ |  |  |  |  | N. | $\begin{aligned} & (p+q) \text { or } \\ & (x+y+z) . \end{aligned}$ | $\chi^{2}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{r}_{0}$. | $\mathrm{r}_{1}$. | $\mathrm{r}_{2}$. | $\mathrm{r}_{3}$. | $r_{4}$. |  |  |  |
| E51/2 | 454 | 3128 | 4876 | 1424 | 118 | 1608 |  |  |
| I | 957 | 3086 | 3644 | 1913 | 377 |  | $0 \cdot 5594+0 \cdot 4406$ | $136 \cdot 4$ |
| III | 484 | 2948 | 4968 | 1474 | 121 |  | $0 \cdot 22+0 \cdot 67+0 \cdot 11$ | $2 \cdot 55$ |
| 057/5 | 940 | 2464 | 3698 | 2351 | 547 | 6466 |  |  |
| I | 742 | 2719 | 3736 | 2280 | 522 |  | $0 \cdot 522+0.478$ | $52 \cdot 1$ |
| III | 841 | 2668 | 3566 | 2300 | 625 |  | $0 \cdot 29+0 \cdot 46+0.25$ | $26 \cdot 5$ |
| 055/8 | 3169 | 4260 | 2191 | 351 | 29 | 2392 |  |  |
| I | 3249 | 4218 | 2053 | 444 | 36 |  | $0 \cdot 755+0.245$ | $13 \cdot 0$ |
| III | 3136 | 4368 | 2081 | 390 | 25 |  | $0 \cdot 56+0.39+0.05$ | $3 \cdot 1$ |
| R57/11 | 3630 | 3918 | 1974 | 434 | 43 | 9098 |  |  |
| I . | 3452 | 4206 | 2107 | 390 | 36 |  | $0 \cdot 7665+0 \cdot 2335$ | $39 \cdot 5$ |
| III | 3600 | 3960 | 1929 | 462 | 49 |  | $0.60+0.35+0.07$ | $3 \cdot 8$ |
| GR58/1007 | 3028 | 5946 |  | 0 | 0 | 2758 | q $=0 \cdot 200$ |  |
| Gr58/1005 | 1229 | 7149 | 1614 | 4 | 4 | 2571 | q $=0.260$ |  |
| Gr58/1008 | 660 | 1657 | 7579 | 33 | 71 | 2408 | q $=0.430$ |  |
| Gr58/1001 | 431 | 2082 | 7416 | 5 | 66 | 1974 | $q=0.430$ |  |

Localities: A, Audley. R, LP, La Perouse and Malabar. O, Oatley, E, Evans Head. TG, Tea Gardens. W, Warrah. Gr, Grampians.
For hypothesis I (independence) expected frequencies are given in italics where they are below observed frequencies.

In the collection of the data, the greatest possible care las been taken to avoid biologically meaningless sources of error. In slide preparation, anthers were dissected in a drop of stain-mountant on the slide under binocular magnification, to remove at least $90 \%$ of the pollen. The drop of mountant used was limited so that no exudation occurred at the edges of the cover slips, which might lead to a loss of the small nuliads. Finally, within-slide sampling error has been avoided in most cases by scanning the whole area of each slide. In the data given for the Grampians plants of Ast. pinifolium a sectorial sampling method was used.

## The Analysis.

Three different hypotheses have been examined. These are: (I) The survival or death of any microspore is independent of the fates of its three sister microspores in the same tetrad. (II) There is competition for survival between the four microspores in each tetrad. (III) The fates of the four microspores in each tetrad are related to the meiotic divisions.

## I. The hypothesis of independence.

With independence, the observed frequencies of the five classes of tetrad should conform to the terms of the binomial $(p+q)^{4}$, where $q$ is the prospect that any microspore will survive, and $p(=1-q)$ is its prospect of abortion.

Writing $a_{0} \ldots a_{4}$ for the observed frequencies of the five tetrad types, their summation being $N$, the total sample, and $r_{0} \ldots . r_{4}$ for the corresponding proportions, summating to unity, the observed value of $q$ is

$$
q=\frac{4 a_{4}+3 a_{3}+2 a_{2}+a}{4 N}
$$

Using values of $q$ obtained directly from the data, expected frequencies $e_{0} \ldots . . e_{4}$, based on the hypothesis of independence, have been calculated. Comparison of the observations with the expected frequencies (Hypothesis I) are presented in Tables 1, 2 and 3. Only one-quarter of the plants of eastern Ast. pinifolium show an acceptable fit to the hypothesis. The distributions of tetrad-type frequencies in the Grampians
plants are remote from the binomial form, and in Acrotriche fasciculifora, all thirteen plants examined show an unsatisfactory fit.

The hypothesis of independence does not provide a generally satisfactory explanation of the segregation behaviour in either species. In the eastern A. pinifolium, however, it seems probable that the causes of tetrad segregation are occasionally capable of simulating independence.

Table 2.
Acrotriche fascirulifiora.
Tetrad Segregation Data.

| Plant and Hypothesis. | Tetrad Type Proportions.$\% \times 100 .$ |  |  |  |  | N. | Parameters$(p+q) \text { or }(x+y+z)$ | $\ell^{2}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Gamma_{19}$. | $\mathrm{r}_{1}$. | $\mathrm{r}_{2}$. | $\mathrm{r}_{5}$. | $\mathrm{r}_{4}$. |  |  |  |
| 54/11 | 9603 | 332 | 62 | 3 | 0 | 3378 |  |  |
| I | 9544 | 448 | 8 | 0 | 0 |  | $0.9884+0.0116$ | $213 \cdot 8$ |
| 111 | 9604 | 333 | 62 | 1 | 0 |  | $0 \cdot 980+0.017+0.003$ | $0 \cdot 03$ |
| 54/12 | 9012 | 739 | 244 | 5 | 0 | 4008 |  |  |
| I | 8813 | 1132 | 54 | 1 | 0 |  | $0 \cdot 9689+0 \cdot 0311$ | $318 \cdot 9$ |
| III | 9025 | 722 | 242 | 9 | 0 |  | $0 \cdot 950+0.038+0.012$ | $0 \cdot 05$ |
| 54/8 | 1824 | 4368 | 3231 | 561 | 16 | 1891 |  |  |
| I | 2214 | 4055 | 2784 | 850 | 97 |  | $0 \cdot 686+0.314$ | $59 \cdot 8$ |
| III. | 1806 | 4420 | 3172 | 572 | 30 |  | $0 \cdot 425+0.520+0.055$ | $1 \cdot 68$ |
| 54/9 | 1331 | 4429 | 3775 | 459 | 6 | 1778 |  |  |
| I | 1967 | 3947 | 2969 | 993 | 124 |  | $0 \cdot 666+0.334$ | $158 \cdot 1$ |
| III | 1369 | 4403 | 3799 | 417 | 12 |  | $0 \cdot 370+0.595+0.035$ | $0 \cdot 76$ |
| 54/7 | 1010 | 4657 | 3872 | 461 | 0 | 2278 |  |  |
| I | 1841 | 3878 | 3064 | 1076 | 142 |  | $0 \cdot 655+0.345$ | $276 \cdot 4$ |
| III | 1156 | 4250 | 4144 | 438 | 12 |  | $0 \cdot 34+0 \cdot 625+0 \cdot 035$ | $17 \cdot 2$ |
| 54/1 | 1349 | 3678 | 4074 | 888 | 11 | 2602 |  |  |
| I | 1646 | 3753 | 3208 | 1212 | 174 |  | $0 \cdot 637+0 \cdot 363$ | $120 \cdot 0$ |
| III | 1122 | 4087 | 4090 | 671 | 30 |  | $0 \cdot 335+0 \cdot 610+0 \cdot 055$ | $43 \cdot 8$ |
| 54/3 | 974 | 4273 | 3980 | 773 | 0 | 1294 |  |  |
| I | 1636 | 3746 | 3216 | 1227 | 176 |  | $0 \cdot 636+0 \cdot 364$ | $104 \cdot 2$ |
| 1II. | 1069 | 4009 | 4150 | 736 | 36 |  | $0 \cdot 327+0 \cdot 613+0 \cdot 060$ | $4 \cdot 2$ |
| 54/4 | 833 | 4395 | 4155 | 616 | 0 | 1752 |  |  |
| I | 1638 | 3746 | 3216 | 1227 | 176 |  | $0 \cdot 636+0 \cdot 364$ | 214-9 |
| 111 | 973 | 4012 | 4415 | 579 | 20 |  | $0 \cdot 312+0 \cdot 643+0 \cdot 045$ | $12 \cdot 7$ |
| 54/2 | 822 | 4183 | 4300 | 655 | 40 | 2979 |  |  |
| I | 1546 | 3678 | 3282 | 1302 | 196 |  | $0 \cdot 627+0.373$ | $348 \cdot 1$ |
| III . | 961 | 3968 | 4406 | 640 | 25 |  | $0 \cdot 31+0.64+0.05$ | $13 \cdot 1$ |
| 54/5 | 784 | 4067 | 4579 | 606 | 0 | 2326 |  |  |
| I | 1516 | 3654 | 3303 | 1327 | 200 |  | $0 \cdot 624+0 \cdot 376$ | $345 \cdot 3$ |
| III . | 824 | 3846 | 4736 | 577 | 18 |  | $0 \cdot 287+0 \cdot 670+0 \cdot 043$ | $5 \cdot 9$ |
| $54 / 10$ | 677 | 3862 | 4602 | 854 | 5 | 2084 |  |  |
| I . | 1375 | 3533 | 3402 | 1456 | 234 |  | $0 \cdot 609+0 \cdot 391$ | 267.2 |
| 111 | 784 | 3752 | 4769 | 670 | 25 |  | $0 \cdot 28+0 \cdot 67+0.05$ | $12 \cdot 6$ |
| 54/13 | 600 | 4122 | 4277 | 966 | 35 | 1999 |  |  |
| I . | 1349 | 3498 | 3403 | 1471 | 239 |  | $0 \cdot 607+0 \cdot 393$ | $218 \cdot 7$ |
| III | 812 | 3733 | 4732 | 786 | 36 |  | $0 \cdot 285+0.655+0.060$ | $32 \cdot 7$ |
| $54^{\prime} 6$ | 409 | 3232 | 5274 | 1067 | 18 | 1640 |  |  |
| I . . | 1086 | 3223 | 3587 | 1775 | 329 |  | $0.574+0.426$ | $278 \cdot 1$ |
| III .. | 484 | 3168 | 5448 | 864 | 36 |  | $0 \cdot 22+0 \cdot 72+0 \cdot 06$ | $10 \cdot 8$ |

Contingencies:
Total, $\chi^{2}=798 \cdot 1$ (excluding 54/11 and 54/12).
Between plants, $\chi^{2}=542 \cdot 5$.
Within plants, $\chi^{2}=255 \cdot 6$.
Within plant data not presented in table.
All sets of data are from counts of two flowers.
(The full tetrad classes, being generally very small, have been grouped with the triad classes for the calculation of the contingency $\chi^{2}$, leaving a total of 22 degrees of freedom.)

## II. The hypothesis of competition.

There are many reasons for the inference that cytoplasmic gradients may constitute the primary system of control in pollen development in the Styphelieae (Smith-White, 1.c.). It is possible that the frequent occurrence of tetrad segregation in the tribe is
dependent upon cytoplasmic differentiation. Such a system would necessarily involve competition between sister microspores for cytoplasmic necessities. The occurrence of a competition effect, however, would not exclude a nuclear or even a chromosomal mechanism.

Competition between sister microspores would cause deviations from the theoretical frequencies calculated on the basis of independence. Such competition may be either positive or negative.

Negative competition or assistance would occur if, when some microspores survive, others in the same tetrad have an increased prospect of survival. A similar situation would exist if the death of a microspore increased the prospect of death of other
table 3.
Maximum Likelihood Approximations to Independence and to the Trinomial Square Form. East Coast Populations of Astroloma pinifolium.

| Hypothesis. | Population. | Number of Plants showing $\chi^{2}$ Values Equivalent to Probabilities of |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Plants. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $<0.01$ | 0.01-0.05 | 0.05-0-10 | 0-10-0.50 | 0.50-0.90 | 0.90-0.95 | 0.95-1.0 |  |
| I. Independence $(p+q)^{4}$ | Oatley <br> Warrah <br> Evans Head <br> Malabar <br> Tea Gardens | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 11 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}- \\ \hline \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ \hline- \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 1 - -2 | - | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ - \\ - \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ 8 \\ 6 \\ 18 \\ 4 \end{array}$ |
|  | Totals .. | 39 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 57 |
| III. Trinomial square $(x+y+z)^{2}$ | Oatley <br> Warrah <br> Evans Head <br> Malabar <br> Tea Gardens | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ - \\ \hline 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 3 <br> - <br>  <br> - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ \hline- \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ 8 \\ 6 \\ 18 \\ 4 \end{array}$ |
|  | Totals | 6 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 19 | 7 | 6 | 57 |

mambers of the tetrad. Just this effect has been observed in an unusual strain of Petunia studied by Levan (1942). It leads to an excess of nullads and full tetrads, and a deficiency of the other classes, compared with independence. Levan infers that dying pollen grains produce toxic degenerative substances which have adverse effects on the other members of the tetrad.

Conversely, positive competition implies that where some microspores develop, others in the same tetrad are at a disadvantage-necessary substances may be in limited supply, and may have non-uniform distribution within the mother cell.

Comparison of the data in Table 1 with binomial expectancy shows that in eastern Ast. pinifolium, in those plants where the data do not fit the hypothesis of independence, there is no consistent surplus or deficiency in the dyad and monad classes as compared with the binomial expectancy. There is no consistent indication of either positive or negative competition within tetrads.

In the data for Acr. fasciculiflora given in Table 2, however, all thirteen plants showed a marked excess of dyads over binomial expectancy, nine plants show an excess of monads, and all other classes in all plants, with the minor exception of nullads in plant $54 / 12$, are deficient. The consistency of the thirteen sets of data suggests very strongly that competition between microspores does operate, and further analysis is justified.

If $q_{1}$ and $p_{1}$, respectively, represent the prospects that a first microspore will survive or abort, and if $q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}$ and $p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}$ are the prospects for survival or abortion of a second microspore following the survival of a first, of a third following the survival of two, and of the fourth following the survival of the other three, the theoretical
frequencies of the five tetrad categories will be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{0}=p_{1}{ }^{4} \\
& e_{1}=q_{1}\left(p_{1}{ }^{3}+p_{1}{ }^{2} p_{2}+p_{1} p_{2}{ }^{2}+p_{2}{ }^{3}\right) \\
& e_{2}=q_{1} q_{2}\left(p_{1}{ }^{2}+p_{2}{ }^{2}+p_{3}{ }^{2}+p_{1} p_{2}+p_{1} p_{3}+p_{2} p_{3}\right) \\
& e_{3}=q_{1} q_{2} q_{3}\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}+p_{4}\right) \\
& e_{4}=q_{1} q_{2} q_{3} q_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The values $q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}$ and $q_{4}$ can be related such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{2}=f_{1} q_{1}, q_{3}=f_{2} q_{2}, q_{4}=f_{3} q_{3} \\
\text { and } & f_{2}=\mathrm{af}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{3}=\operatorname{caf}_{2}=\mathrm{ca}^{2} \mathrm{f}_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Dropping the subscript to $f_{1}$,

$$
\mathrm{q}_{2}=\mathrm{f} \mathrm{q}_{1}, \quad \mathrm{q}_{3}=a \mathrm{af}^{2} \mathrm{q}_{1}, \quad \mathrm{q}_{4}=\mathrm{ca}^{3} \mathrm{f}^{3} \mathrm{q}_{1}
$$

In this formulation, there are four parameters, $q_{1}, f, a$ and $c$, and the last three measure or determine the increasing severity of competition between first and second, second and third, and third and fourth microspores. Since there are at most four degrees of freedom in any set of data, statistical testing of the formulation is not possible. However, values for the parameters have been calculated for eleven sets of data from Table 2 (excluding plants $54 / 11$ and $54 / 12$ ). The following five sets are representative:


It will be noted that a is of the same order of size as $f$; it may be slightly larger, or substantially smaller. The parameter $c$ is very small, but lower values of $c$ are not necessarily associated with low values of a or of $f$. Competition acting against the third microspore is scarcely greater than that acting against the second, but that operating against a fourth microspore is very severe.

The very sharp increase in competitive effect operating against the survival of all four microspores, which is indicated by this analysis, suggests that competition does not conform to a simple pattern. It is consistent, however, with the operation of a cytoplasmic gradient (Text-figure 1A, B). If there is a gradient distribution of necessary cytoplasmic substances (stippling) and also variation in total amount, and if the plane of the first meiotic division tends to lie along this gradient, varying from position. (a) to position (b) with a mean at (m), competitive effects consistent with those observed could result. It is perhaps significant that in Astroloma and in many other species of the Styphelieae the spindle of the first meiotic division is eccentric and laterally displaced (Text-figure 1C) and may be across a cytoplasmic gradient.

## III. The hypothesis of meiotic dependence.

This hypothesis assumes that the tetrad segregations are related to and dependent upon the conditions of meiosis. Martin and Peacock (1959, pp. 272-278) have examined tetrad segregation in several species of Leschenaultia (Goodeniaceae). They find that, consequent upon low chiasma frequency and terminal chiasma localization, there is an appreciable frequency of univalents at first metaphase in pollen mother cells; loss and misdivision of univalents is responsible for some, but probably not all, of the observed pollen grain death.

In the Styphelieae it is not possible to recognize the plane of the first division in the mature pollen tetrads. This plane, however, may still be considered to divide the pollen mother cell (PMC) into two half-mother cells ( $\frac{1}{2}$ MCs). Each $\frac{1}{2}$ MC would then be capable of yielding one of three possible results-neither, one only, or both its daughter microspores might survive. The prospects of these three possible results will be designated by the symbols $x$, $y$ and $z$ respectively.

With independence, there would arise the identities $x=p^{2} ; y=2 p q ; z=q^{2} ;$ and $(x+y+z)^{2}=(p+q)^{4}$.

Independence, however, might operate between, but not within, half-mother cells and the tetrad-type frequencies would then fit the expansion of the trinomial $(x+y+z)^{2}$. This relationship, which will be referred to as the "trinomial square", is illustrated in Text-figure 2. Recognition of the plane of the first division, if possible, would permit the separation of the terms $y^{2}$ and $2 x y$ in the theoretical frequency of dyads. This hypothesis of independence between $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{MCs}$ is hypothesis III in Tables 1,2 and 3.


Text-figure 1.-The effect of competition in a cytoplasmic gradient.
The gradient is represented by stippling. Variation in total supply of the gradient substances is not indicated. The orientation of the division spindles varies, with a mode as in B. Orientation as in A would yield monads or triads, according to the quantitative supply of gradient substance. Orientation as in $B$ would yield dyads rather than monads. Cf. text. In C , the spindle eccentricity characteristic of the family is illustrated.

In order to test the data in Tables 1, 2 and 3 against the hypothesis, maximum likelihood estimates of $x, y$ and $z$ have been approximated, using a method of iteration described by Kempthorne (1957, pages $172-177$ ), the iteration being repeated only until a satisfactory $\chi^{2}$ value was obtained, or until it became obvious that a satisfactory $\chi^{2}$ value was unobtainable. In many of the sets of data, the initial estimates, obtained from the equations

$$
y=\sqrt{2 r_{0}+r_{1}+r_{2}-2 \sqrt{r_{0}}}, x=\frac{r_{1}}{2 y_{1}}, z=1-x-y
$$

have given acceptable $\chi^{2}$ values, and no further iteration has been carried out.
The observed frequency distributions obtained for eastern Ast. pinifolium are surprisingly consistent with this third hypothesis (Tables 1, 3). By contrast, the tetrad-type distributions obtained for the Grampians population of the species are remote from the form, and it must be inferred that the direct causes of segregation are quite different.

In Acr. fasciculiflora, four plants among thirteen give an excellent fit to the hypothesis, two show a barely acceptable fit, and seven show a very bad fit.

## Within-Plant Variation.

Significant variation occurs between flowers within plants in probably all plants of both species. Intraplant variation, however, generally seems to be of lesser degree than interplant variation, and this feature is clearly shown by Text-figure 3. In Table 2,


Text-figure 2.-The trinomial square form.
$\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ and z are the prospects for the three possible results from a $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{MC}$. With independence, the whole tetrad result becomes $(x+y+z)^{2}$.
the contingency $\chi^{2}$ value for within-plant variation is only one-half that for betweenplant variation, for equal degrees of freedom.

In Tables 4 and 5, data from individual flowers and anthers are given for two plants of Ast. pinifolium and are sufficient to illustrate the characteristics of intraplant variation. These two plants have been selected as representative of plants showing good and bad fit, respectively, to the trinomial square form.

In both plants there is significant variation, even between the five anthers of a single flower. In R57/6 (Table 4), the grand totals, flower totals, and individual anther sets, with one exception, all conform to trinomial squares. In A53/1, the data for flower 1 show an acceptable fit, but the other flowers do not, and the fit of the grandtotal distributions is very bad.

The data in Table 4 show two features which deserve comment. The first is that $\chi^{2}$ values tend to be either very low, corresponding to probabilities above 0.5 , or very high with probabilities below 0.01 . The distribution of $\chi^{2}$ values does not appear to be normal. The second feature is that, although the individual anther distributions all fit trinomial squares, they are significantly different, and yet the grand total distribution conforms to a "mean" trinomial square. It can be shown algebraically that the means of the individual terms of two different trinomial squares cannot be themselves the terms of a trinomial square. This contradiction in the data has not been resolved.

Intraplant variation in tetrad segregation is generally at a significant level, and must mean that the behaviour is subject to environmental modification. Interplant variation, however, is generally greater in degree, and this fact suggests that there are both genetical and environmental components involved. The existence of the
environmental effect, however, makes the interpretation of interplant variation difficult and hazardous.

The Meaning of the Trinomial Square Form.
The consistency of the fit to the trinomial square hypothesis in plants of eastern Ast. pinifolium must have significance in relation to the causes of tetrad segregation. This form has several implications which relate it to the conditions of meiosis (Textfigure 2).


Text-figure 3.-Variation in pollen fertility within and between plants. Astroloma pinifolium.

The values plotted are the $q$ values for total microspore survival, each determination being from the five anthers of a flower. It is suggested that both genetic and environmental components affect pollen fertility.

The values $x, y$ and $z$ represent the prospects that neither, one only, or both the microspores derived from a second division $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{MC}$ shall survive. It is clear that to give the trinomial square form:
(i) The events leading to microspore failure or survival are operative or effective during the second division of meiosis.
(ii) The two $\frac{1}{2}$ MCs of each PMC must have similar prospects.
(iii) These prospects in the two $\frac{1}{2}$ MCs must be independent.
(iv) From (ii) and (iii) it follows that the first meiotic division must be strictly equational, both in chromosomal segregations or abnormal chromosome behaviour, and
in cytoplasmic differentiation. In particular, the cytoplasmic polarity present during the first division in Leucopogon juniperinus, which is demonstrated by the non-random segregation of univalents (Smith-white, 1948), and which is generally involved in the monad-type of pollen development in the Styphelieae (Smith-White, 1955, 1959), must be absent, or must be delayed, at least, until after the beginning of the second divisions.

Table 4.
Variation in Tetrad Segregation Within and Between Flowers. Plant R57/6.

| Flower. | Anther. | Tetrad Type Proportions.$\% \times 100 .$ |  |  |  |  | N. | q. | $(x+y+z)$. | $\chi^{2}$. | P. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Gamma_{0}$. | $\mathrm{r}_{1}$. | $r_{2}$. | $\mathrm{r}_{3}$. | $\mathrm{r}_{4}$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 457 | 412 | 118 | 13 | 0 | 608 | $0 \cdot 172$ | $0 \cdot 676+0 \cdot 304+0 \cdot 020$ | $0 \cdot 15$ | 0.98 |
|  | 2 | 290 | 458 | 219 | 31 | 2 | 576 | $0 \cdot 247$ | $0.538+0.426+0.036$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.99 |
|  | 3 | 279 | 435 | 243 | 41 | 2 | 581 | $0 \cdot 263$ | $0 \cdot 528+0 \cdot 412+0 \cdot 060$ | $0 \cdot 60$ | $0 \cdot 8$ |
|  | 4 | 287 | 399 | 241 | 66 | 7 | 544 | $0 \cdot 277$ | $0 \cdot 54+0 \cdot 37+0.09$ | $0 \cdot 19$ | 0.98 |
|  | 5 | 248 | 403 | 246 | 87 | 16 | 549 | $0 \cdot 306$ | $0 \cdot 50+0 \cdot 40+0 \cdot 10$ | $2 \cdot 21$ | $0 \cdot 7$ |
| Totals |  | 315 | 421 | 212 | 47 | 5 | 2858 | $0 \cdot 252$ | $0 \cdot 562+0 \cdot 375+0 \cdot 063$ | $1 \cdot 16$ | 0.85 |
| 2 | 1 | 339 | 356 | 246 | 59 | 0 | 675 | $0 \cdot 256$ | $0.52+0.40+0.08$ | $19 \cdot 1$ | 0.001 |
|  | 2 | 282 | 439 | 229 | 43 | 7 | 674 | 0.264 | $0 \cdot 52+0.40+0.08$ | $6 \cdot 7$ | 0.15 |
|  | 3 | 272 | 431 | 221 | 69 | 5 | 605 | $0 \cdot 276$ | $0.52+0.41+0.07$ | $2 \cdot 4$ | $0 \cdot 5$ |
|  | 4 | 259 | 436 | 242 | 48 | 15 | 665 | $0 \cdot 281$ | $0 \cdot 50+0 \cdot 40+0 \cdot 10$ | $10 \cdot 9$ | 0.03 |
|  | 5 | 256 | 423 | 246 | 72 | 3 | 601 | $0 \cdot 286$ | $0.51+0.42+0.07$ | $1 \cdot 85$ | $0 \cdot 75$ |
| Totals |  | 283 | 416 | 237 | 58 | 6 | 3220 | $0 \cdot 272$ | $0 \cdot 532+0.391+0.077$ | $0 \cdot 44$ | 0.97 |
| 3 | 1 | 328 | 407 | 223 | 38 | 4 | 445 | $0 \cdot 246$ | $0 \cdot 573+0.355+0.072$ | 1.96 | $0 \cdot 7$ |
|  | 2 | 295 | 423 | 231 | 49 | 2 | 529 | $0 \cdot 260$ | $0.55+0.39+0.06$ | $1 \cdot 11$ | $0 \cdot 8$ |
|  | 3 | 231 | 419 | 257 | 85 | 8 | 611 | $0 \cdot 305$ | $0.481+0.436+0.083$ | $2 \cdot 09$ | $0 \cdot 6$ |
|  | 4 | 222 | 413 | 274 | 82 | 9 | 559 | $0 \cdot 311$ | $0.47+0.44+0.09$ | $0 \cdot 11$ | 0.99 |
|  | 5 | 123 | 434 | 317 | 113 | 13 | 602 | $0 \cdot 365$ | $0.38+0.50+0.02$ | $7 \cdot 65$ | 0.07 |
| Totals |  | 233 | 420 | 262 | 76 | 8 | 2746 | $0 \cdot 301$ | $0.483+0.435+0.082$ | $1 \cdot 67$ | $0 \cdot 7$ |
| Grand totals |  | 278 | 419 | 237 | 60 | 6 | 8824 | $0 \cdot 275$ | $0.530+0.395+0.075$ | $1 \cdot 36$ | 0.85 |

(Full tetrad classes have been grouped with triad classes.)
Contingencies : Total, $\chi^{2}=346 \cdot 3$. 42 d.f.
Between flowers $\chi^{2}=71 \cdot 3$. Heterogenous.
Contribution to total $\chi^{2}$ by anthers $1-1$ and $3-5=251 \cdot 2$.
The trinomial square form of frequency distribution does not necessarily imply but it does suggest that chromosomal or genetical segregations are involved. It is possible to devise model systems which are capable of giving this form. The conditions necessary are:
(i) There must be a substantial degree of chiasma localization, such that definite chromosome segments are always distal to the first chiasma, and always proximal to a second chiasma if such is formed. These segments will always show second division segregation and, like the differential segments of an Oenothera system, will be excluded from crossing over, and so protected from breakdown (Text-figure 4).
(ii) These segments, on different chromosomes, must carry all genes, or chromosome blocks, concerned in the tetrad segregation.
(iii) There must be at least two gene or chromosome block systems, A/a, B/b, . . and $A^{\prime} / \mathrm{a}^{\prime}, \mathrm{B}^{\prime} / \mathrm{b}^{\prime}, \ldots$ which may be either alternate or duplicate to each other in action. Each system must include two or more complementary genes or blocks, and all must be independent of one another in segregation.

The minimum system possible is $A / a B / b A^{\prime} / a^{\prime} B^{\prime} / b^{\prime}$ which would give
$\mathrm{A} B$ and $\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ systems duplicate, $(\cdot 125+\cdot 625+\cdot 250)^{2}$.
A B and $\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ alternate, $(\cdot 250+\cdot 625+\cdot 125)^{3}$.

A system $A / a B / b C / c A^{\prime} / a^{\prime} B^{\prime} / b^{\prime} C^{\prime} / c^{\prime}$ would permit a wider range of trinomial squares.

Such an hypothesis of two gene or chromosome block systems is permitted by the apparent secondary polyploid constitutions of Astroloma pinifolium ( $\mathrm{n}=7, \mathrm{x}=4$ ) and of Acrotriche fasciculiflora ( $\mathrm{n}=9$. Smith-White, 1955). However, the hypothesis wouid


Text-figure 4.-Diagram of a bivalent with chiasma localization.
Segments outside the chiasma regions are isolated from crossing over. The region distal to the first chiasma region would show regular reduction at second anaphase.
not seem to permit the degree of intraplant and environmental variation which has been described, unless it were associated with a very considerable effect of environment on chiasma frequency and chiasma localization.

Chromosome fragmentation is known to occur as a characteristic feature of meiosis in pollen mother cells of eastern Ast. pinifolium, and will be described in another paper. This abnormal meiotic behaviour may be associated with a system of chromosome

Table 5.
Variation in Tetrad Segregation Within and Between Flowers. Astroloma pinifolium. Plant A53/1.

| Flower. | Anther. | Tetrad-type Proportions. |  |  |  |  | N. | q. | Parameters (xyx). | $\chi^{2}$. | P. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{r}_{0}$. | $\mathrm{r}_{1}$. | $\mathrm{r}_{2}$. | $\mathrm{r}_{3}$. | r. ${ }_{\text {. }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 332 | 395 | 239 | 33 | 0 | 461 | $0 \cdot 242$ | $0.54+0.41+0.05$ | $6 \cdot 1$ | 0-10 |
|  | 2 | 247 | 468 | 254 | 31 | 0 | 460 | 0.267 | $0 \cdot 50+0 \cdot 46+0 \cdot 04$ | $0 \cdot 6$ | 0.85 |
|  | 3 | 254 | 448 | 270 | 28 | 0 | 496 | $0 \cdot 268$ | $0.51+0.45+0.04$ | $2 \cdot 9$ | $0 \cdot 40$ |
|  | 4 | 266 | 442 | 266 | 26 | 0 | 421 | 0-269 | $0.51+0.45+0.04$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | 0-40 |
|  | 5 | 231 | 453 | 265 | 49 | 2 | 433 | $0 \cdot 282$ | $0 \cdot 50+0 \cdot 45+0 \cdot 05$ | $1 \cdot 0$ | 0.80 |
| Totals |  | 267 | 441 | 259 | 33 | 0 | 2271 | $0 \cdot 265$ | $0.51+0.45+0.04$ | $5 \cdot 7$ | 0-15 |
| 2 | 1 | 202 | 326 | 385 | 82 | 6 | 491 | 0.341 | $0 \cdot 405+0.515+0.080$ | 18.5 | $0 \cdot 001$ |
|  | 2 | 185 | 322 | 368 | 118 | 6 | 475 | $0 \cdot 360$ | $0.39+0.52+0.09$ | 14.5 | 0.004 |
|  | 3 | 178 | 301 | 363 | 148 | 11 | 535 | 0.379 | $0 \cdot 39+0 \cdot 52+0.09$ | $17 \cdot 7$ | 0.001 |
|  | 4 | 134 | 308 | 429 | 124 | 5 | 396 | $0 \cdot 390$ | $0 \cdot 32+0.58+0 \cdot 10$ | $9 \cdot 0$ | $0 \cdot 01$ |
|  | 5 | 120 | 277 | 450 | 143 | 10 | 476 | $0 \cdot 412$ | $0.31+0.57+0.12$ | 14.2 | $0 \cdot 01$ |
| Totals |  | 165 | 307 | 397 | 123 | 8 | 2373 | $0 \cdot 376$ | $0 \cdot 343+0 \cdot 550+0 \cdot 107$ | $82 \cdot 9$ | 0.000 |
| 3 | 1 | 140 | 315 | 381 | 149 | 15 | 549 | 0.363 | $0 \cdot 35+0.52+0.13$ | $7 \cdot 6$ | $0 \cdot 10$ |
|  | 2 | 151 | 336 | 401 | 101 | 11 | 456 | 0.371 | $0 \cdot 36+0 \cdot 53+0 \cdot 11$ | $7 \cdot 34$ | $0 \cdot 10$ |
|  | 3 | 135 | 336 | 405 | 172 | 10 | 398 | $0 \cdot 386$ | $0 \cdot 36+0 \cdot 53+0 \cdot 11$ | $4 \cdot 78$ | 0.30 |
|  | $4$ | $119$ | $330$ | $385$ | $152$ | 15 | 455 | $0 \cdot 404$ | $0 \cdot 3 t+0 \cdot 55+0 \cdot 11$ | $6 \cdot 4$ | $0 \cdot 15$ |
|  | 5 | $102$ | 303 | 458 | 131 | 7 | 413 | $0 \cdot 409$ | $0 \cdot 32+0 \cdot 58+0 \cdot 10$ | $9 \cdot 1$ | 0.01 |
| Totals |  | 129 | 323 | 403 | 133 | 12 | 2271 | 0.393 | $0 \cdot 34+0 \cdot 55+0 \cdot 11$ | $26 \cdot 4$ | 0.001 |
| Grand totals |  | 187 | 356 | 354 | 97 | 7 | 6915 | $0 \cdot 345$ | $0.38+0.53+0.09$ | $130 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 000$ |
| Contingency : |  | Between flowers 43 Within flowers |  | $\begin{array}{r} 533 \\ 439 \\ 94 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { eterog } \\ & \text { eterog } \\ & \text { eterog } \end{aligned}$ | . <br> ous. |  |  |  |  |

segregation similar to the model just described. Fragmentation does not occur in Grampians Ast. pinifolium or in Acrotriche fasciculiflora, and it must therefore constitute an additional complication rather than a primary cause of pollen tetrad segregation in the Styphelieae.

## Summary and Conclusions.

An analysis of pollen tetrad segregation in Astroloma pinifolium and Acrotriche fasciculifiora has been attempted.

In eastern Ast. pinifolium, segregations generally fit a trinomial square form, and occasionally fit the hypothesis of independence. Tetrad segregations in a population of the same species from south-west Victoria (Grampians) are very different.

In Acrotriche fasciculiflora, segregations occasionally fit the trinomial square form, but more often show significant departures from this form.

The trinomial square form of tetrad frequency distribution requires strict equationality of the first meiotic division, and independence between the two second divisions in each mother cell, and a genetic or even chromosomal mechanism may be involved.

Deviations from the trinomial square form could be determined by loss of strict equationality of the first division. In the case of genetic or chromosomal mechanisms this would require a shift in regions of chiasma localization.

Consistent departures from expectations based on independence in Acrotriche fasciculiflora suggest that cytoplasmic conditions may be in part responsible for pollen death within tetrads. In particular, a gradient distribution of cytoplasmic substances may be involved.

Highly significant and very substantial intraplant variation in tetrad type frequencies occur, showing the behaviour to be sensitive to environmental modification. Until environmental effects can be evaluated, attempts to explain interplant and interpopulation differences are hazardous. Acceptable model systems must permit substantial environmental modification.

It is possible that both chromosomal and cytoplasmic systems operate as partial causes of segregation behaviour in the Styphelieae.

## Literature Cited.

Kempthorne, O., 1957.-An Introduction to Genetic Statistics. John Wiley \& Sons. Inc., N. York.
Levan, A., 1942.-A gene for the remaining in tetrads of ripe pollen in Petunia. Hereditas, 28: 429-435.
Martin, P. G., and Peacock, W. J., 1959.-Pollen tetrad patterns in Leschenaultia. Proc. Linn. Soc. N.S.W., 84: 271-277.
Smith-White, S., 1948.-Polarised segregation in a stable triploid. Heredity, 2: 119-129.
--_, 1955.-Chromosome Numbers and Pollen Types in the Epacridaceae. Aust. J. Bot., 3: 48-67.
—_, 1959.-Pollen Development Patterns in the Epacridaceae. Pres. Address. Proc. Linn. Soc. N.S.W., 84: 8-35.

